# CS480/680: Introduction to Machine Learning 

 Lecture 8: Gradient DescentHongyang Zhang


Feb 6, 2024

## Optimization in Machine Learning

Many ML methods can be formulated as an optimization problem. Examples:

- Perceptron (Lecture 2):

$$
\min _{\mathbf{w}}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{y}_{i}\left\langle\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_{i}\right\rangle \mathbb{\mathbb { L }}\left[\text { mistake on } \mathbf{x}_{i}\right]
$$

- Logistic regression (Lecture 4):

$$
\min _{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left[1+\exp \left(-\mathbf{y}_{i}\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{w}\right\rangle\right)\right]
$$

- SVM (Lecture 6):

$$
\left.\min _{\mathbf{w}, b} \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{w}\|_{2}^{2}+C \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-\mathbf{y}_{i}\left(\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{w}\right\rangle+b\right)\right)\right)^{+}
$$

## Gradient Descent

- Consider unconstrained optimization

$$
\min _{x} f(x)
$$

- Let's assume $f$ is differentiable with gradient $\nabla f(x)$
- Denote optimal criterion value by $f^{*}=\min _{x} f(x)$, and a solution by $x^{*}=\operatorname{argmin}_{x} f(x)$
- Gradient descent template: choose initial point $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and repeat

$$
x^{(k)}=x^{(k-1)}-\underbrace{t}_{\text {step size }} \cdot \nabla f\left(x^{(k-1)}\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

## Gradient Descent



Intuition: Negative gradient is the steepest decreasing direction at that point. So if the step size is small and the function is convex, the algorithm will reach the minimizer.

## An Example on Perceptron (Lecture 2)

$$
\min _{\mathbf{w}}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{y}_{i}\left\langle\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_{i}\right\rangle \mathbb{I}\left[\text { mistake on } \mathbf{x}_{i}\right]
$$

- Gradient descent update:

$$
\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}+t\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{y}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbb{I}\left[\text { mistake on } \mathbf{x}_{i}\right]\right]
$$

- (Stochastic) Gradient descent update:

$$
\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}+t \mathbf{y}_{I} \mathbf{x}_{I} \mathbb{I}\left[\text { mistake on } \mathbf{x}_{I}\right]
$$

for a random index $I$

## An Example on Soft-Margin SVM (Lecture 6)

$$
\min _{\mathbf{w}, b} \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{w}\|_{2}^{2}+C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell_{\text {hinge }}\left(\mathrm{y}_{i} \hat{y}_{i}\right), \quad \text { s.t. } \quad \hat{y}_{i}=\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{w}\right\rangle+b
$$

- Gradient descent update:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}-t\left[\mathbf{w}+C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell_{\text {hinge }}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{y}_{i} \hat{y}_{i}\right) \mathrm{y}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{x}_{i}\right] \\
& b \leftarrow b-t\left[C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell_{\text {hinge }}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{y}_{i} \hat{y}_{i}\right) \mathrm{y}_{i}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Interpretation from Taylor Expansion

Consider the Taylor expansion of $f$ locally at $x$, where $x$ is the current iterate ${ }^{1}$ :

$$
f(y) \approx f(x)+\nabla f(x)^{T}(y-x)+\frac{1}{2 t}\|y-x\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Taking the $\min _{y}$ operation at both sides:

$$
\min _{y} f(y) \approx \min _{y}\left[f(x)+\nabla f(x)^{T}(y-x)+\frac{1}{2 t}\|y-x\|_{2}^{2}\right]
$$

Choose next point $y=x^{+}$to minimize the right hand side:

$$
x^{+}=x-t \nabla f(x)
$$

[^0]
## Interpretation from Taylor Expansion



Circle point $\bullet$ is $x$, cross point x is

$$
x^{(i+1)}=\underset{y}{\operatorname{argmin}} \underbrace{f\left(x^{(i)}\right)+\nabla f\left(x^{(i)}\right)^{T}(y-x)+\frac{1}{2 t}\left\|y-x^{(i)}\right\|_{2}^{2}}_{g_{i}(y)}
$$

## Step size cannot be too large

- Diverge if $t$ is too large.
- Consider $f(x)=\left(10 x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right) / 2$. Gradient descent after 8 steps:



## Step size cannot be too small

- Can be too slow if $t$ is too small.
- Consider $f(x)=\left(10 x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right) / 2$. Gradient descent:



## "Just right" step size

- Converge nicely when $t$ is "just right".
- Consider $f(x)=\left(10 x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right) / 2$. Gradient descent after 40 steps:



## Convex Function



Function $f$ is convex: For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
f(y) \geq f(x)+\nabla f(x)^{T}(y-x)
$$

## Convergence Analysis for Convex Case

Assume that $f$ is convex and differentiable, with $\operatorname{dom}(f)=\mathbb{R}^{d}$, and additionally that $\nabla f$ is $L$-Lipschitz continuous (a.k.a. $f$ is $L$-smooth):

$$
L \mathbf{I}-\nabla^{2} f(x)
$$

is positive semi-definite for every $x$ (denoted by $L \mathbf{I} \succeq \nabla^{2} f(x)$ ).

## Theorem: Convergence rate for convex case

Gradient descent with fixed step size $t \leq 1 / L$ satisfies

$$
f\left(x^{(k)}\right)-f^{*} \leq \frac{\left\|x^{(0)}-x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{2 t k} .
$$

We say gradient descent has convergence rate $O(1 / k)$. That is, a bound of $f\left(x^{(k)}\right)-f\left(x^{*}\right) \leq \epsilon$ can be achieved using only $O(1 / \epsilon)$ iterations.

## Proof

For any $y$, perform a quadratic expansion and obtain (by mean-value theorem):

$$
f(y) \leq f(x)+\nabla f(x)^{T}(y-x)+\frac{1}{2} L\|y-x\|_{2}^{2} \quad\left(\text { because } L \mathbf{I} \succeq \nabla^{2} f(x)\right)
$$

Plug in $y=x^{+}:=x-t \nabla f(x)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(x^{+}\right) & \leq f(x)+\nabla f(x)^{T}\left(x^{+}-x\right)+\frac{1}{2} L\left\|x^{+}-x\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& =f(x)+\nabla f(x)^{T}(x-t \nabla f(x)-x)+\frac{1}{2} L\|x-t \nabla f(x)-x\|_{2}^{2} \\
& =f(x)-\left(1-\frac{1}{2} L t\right) t\|\nabla f(x)\|_{2}^{2}  \tag{1}\\
& \leq f(x)-\frac{1}{2} t\|\nabla f(x)\|_{2}^{2} \quad(\text { because } t \leq 1 / L)
\end{align*}
$$

That is, each update decreases the function value by at least $\frac{1}{2} t\|\nabla f(x)\|_{2}^{2}!$

## Proof - Cont'

Function $f$ is convex:

$$
f\left(x^{*}\right) \geq f(x)+\nabla f(x)^{T}\left(x^{*}-x\right) \Rightarrow f(x) \leq f\left(x^{*}\right)+\nabla f(x)^{T}\left(x-x^{*}\right)
$$

Plugging in (1), we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(x^{+}\right) \leq f\left(x^{*}\right) \\
\Rightarrow & \left.f\left(x^{+}\right)-f\left(x^{*}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2 t}(2 t \nabla)^{T}\left(x-x^{*}\right)-\frac{t}{2}\left\|\nabla f(x)^{T}\left(x-x^{*}\right)-t^{2}\right\| \nabla f(x) \|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
\Rightarrow & f\left(x^{+}\right)-f\left(x^{*}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2 t}\left(2 t \nabla f(x)^{T}\left(x-x^{*}\right)-t^{2}\|\nabla f(x)\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
\Rightarrow & f\left(x^{+}\right)-f\left(x^{*}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2 t}\left(\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|x-t \nabla f(x)-x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
\Rightarrow & f\left(x^{+}\right)-f\left(x^{*}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2 t}\left(\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|x^{+}-x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof - Cont'

Summing over iterations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(f\left(x^{(i)}\right)-f\left(x^{*}\right)\right) & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{2 t}\left(\left\|x^{(i-1)}-x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|x^{(i)}-x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 t}\left(\left\|x^{(0)}-x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|x^{(k)}-x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 t}\left\|x^{(0)}-x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
f\left(x^{(k)}\right) \leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} f\left(x^{(i)}\right) \leq f\left(x^{*}\right)+\frac{\left\|x^{(0)}-x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{2 t k}
$$

The first inequality holds because $f\left(x^{(i)}\right)$ is decreasing with the increase of $i$. Q.E.D.

## Convergence Analysis for Strong Convexity

$m$-strong convexity of $f$ means $f(x)-m\|x\|_{2}^{2}$ is convex: $L \mathbf{I} \succeq \nabla^{2} f(x) \succeq m \mathbf{I}$.

## Theorem: Convergence rate for strong convexity

Let $f$ be differentiable, $m$-strongly convex, and $L$-smooth. Gradient descent with fixed step size $t \leq 2 /(m+L)$ satisfies

$$
f\left(x^{(k)}\right)-f^{*} \leq \gamma^{k} \frac{L}{2}\left\|x^{(0)}-x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2},
$$

where $0<\gamma<1$.
Rate under strong convexity is $O\left(\gamma^{k}\right)$, exponentially fast! That is, a bound of $f\left(x^{(k)}\right)-f\left(x^{*}\right) \leq \epsilon$ can be achieved using only $O\left(\log _{1 / \gamma}(1 / \epsilon)\right)$ iterations.

## Gradient descent for Nonconvex Case



Asking for optimality is too much. Let's focus on $\|\nabla f(x)\|_{2} \leq \epsilon$.

## Convergence Analysis for Nonconvex Case

Assume $f$ is differentiable and $L$-smooth, now nonconvex.

## Theorem: Convergence rate for nonconvex case

Gradient descent with fixed step size $t \leq 1 / L$ satisfies

$$
\min _{i=0, \ldots, k}\left\|\nabla f\left(x^{(i)}\right)\right\|_{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\left(f\left(x^{(0)}\right)-f^{*}\right)}{t(k+1)}}
$$

Thus gradient descent has rate $O(1 / \sqrt{k})$, even in the nonconvex case for finding stationary points.
This rate cannot be improved by any deterministic algorithm.

## Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Consider decomposable optimization ( $n$ is very large)

$$
\min _{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(\mathbf{w})
$$

- For example, $f_{i}(\mathbf{w})=\ell\left(\mathbf{w} ; \mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathrm{y}_{i}\right)=-\mathrm{y}_{i}\left\langle\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_{i}\right\rangle \mathbb{I}\left[\right.$ mistake on $\left.\mathbf{x}_{i}\right]$
- Let's assume $f_{i}$ is differentiable with gradient $\nabla f_{i}(\mathbf{w})$
- Gradient descent:

$$
\mathbf{w}^{+}=\mathbf{w}-t \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla f_{i}(\mathbf{w})
$$

- Stochastic gradient descent:
(the same expectation)

$$
\mathbf{w}^{+}=\mathbf{w}-t \cdot \nabla f_{I}(\mathbf{w})
$$

where $I$ is a (uniformly) random index

## Stochastic Gradient Descent - Convergence Rate

For convex and $L$-smooth $f_{i}$ (in the $k$-th iteration):

- Gradient descent:

$$
\mathbf{w}^{+}=\mathbf{w}-t \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla f_{i}(\mathbf{w})
$$

- Step size $t \leq 1 / L$
- Time complexity: $O\left(\frac{n}{\epsilon}\right)$
- Stochastic gradient descent:

$$
\mathbf{w}^{+}=\mathbf{w}-t \cdot \nabla f_{I}(\mathbf{w})
$$

where $I$ is a random index

- Step size $t=1 / k$ for $k=1,2,3, \ldots$
- Time complexity: $O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\right)$
- Randomness leads to large variance of estimation of gradient. Thus SGD requires more iterations (though each iteration needs less computations)


## OuBstions <br> 


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The approximation holds only when $y \rightarrow x$ for a fixed $t$; the remainder term is informal.

